

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | О. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/447,319 | | 11/22/1999 | MICHAEL ADRIAN GOOCH | 11129/2 | 3669 |
| 26646 | 7590 | 07/31/2003 | | | |
| KENYON & KENYON | | | | EXAMINER | |
| | ONE BROADWAY | | | KAZIMI, HANI M | |
| NEW YO | RK, NY | 10004 | | · KAZIWI, | - · |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3624 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| Office Action Summers | 09/447,319 | GOOCH, MICHAEL ADRIAN |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| 7. 4441.410 | Hani Kazimi | 3624 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failitire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A | April 2003 | |
| | s action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the | nce except for formal matters, p | prosecution as to the merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | -x parto quayro, todo olo: +1, | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | r election requirement. | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | г. | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | ted or b)□ objected to by the Exa | aminer. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr | oved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | ly to this Office action. | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicat | tion No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application. | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro- | visional application has been re | ceived. |
| Attachment(s) | . , | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Serial Number: 09/447,319

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

2

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on April 29, 2003. The rejections are as stated below.

Status of Claims

2. Of the original claims 1-18, claims 1, 16, 17, and 18 have been amended, and claims 19-30 have been added in the amendment filed on <u>April 29, 2003</u>. Therefore, claims 1-30 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

3. Applicants' arguments filed on April 29, 2003 have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejection are not deemed to be persuasive.

Therefore, claims 1-30 are rejected as being unpatentable over the art cited below, and Applicants' request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Response to Applicants' Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09/447,319 3

Art Unit: 3624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-9, and 11-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popolo, U.S. patent 5,715,402 in view of BoxLot Online Auction, "review auction & bidding formats", hereinafter "BoxLot".

Claims 1-9, and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popolo, U.S. patent 5,715,402 as discussed in paragraph 4 of paper number 5.

Further: Claims 1-9, and 11-30, Popolo fails to teach that the request is for one of a bid to buy the instrument and an offer to sell the instrument, the first indication is not an acceptance, and the second indication is not a rejection, providing the customer to amend, adjust, or cancel the request at any time before the customer accepts the best price response.

BoxLot teaches that the request is for one of a bid to buy the instrument and an offer to sell the instrument, the first indication is not an acceptance, and the second indication is not a

Serial Number: 09/447,319

4

Art Unit: 3624

rejection, providing the customer to amend, adjust, or cancel the request at any time before the customer accepts the best price response.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Popolo to include that the request is for one of a bid to buy the instrument and an offer to sell the instrument, the first indication is not an acceptance, and the second indication is not a rejection, providing the customer to amend, adjust, or cancel the request at any time before the customer accepts the best price response because, both systems of Popolo and BoxLot are auction systems. Also, BoxLot mentions that the advantage of such notifications is to give the user a chance to resubmit another bid.

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popolo, U.S. patent 5,715,402 in view of Kalmus et al, U.S. patent 4,674,044 as discussed in paragraph 5 of paper number 5.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The response to Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims is mentioned above within the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of this office action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 9.

5

Art Unit: 3624

action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703) 305-7687 or 7658.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 1114.

Serial Number: 09/447,319

Art Unit: 3624

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 3624

July 14, 2003